

# **Investigating the behavioural and physiological responses associated with observational fear memory**

Leonardo Piovanelli

6METH – Master's Programme

Applied Ethology and Animal Biology

**Thesis Project:** 60 ECTS

28 May 2024 20 June 2023 – 25 March 2024 Supervisor: Prof. Estelle Barbier

Examiner: Prof. Per Jensen

Centre for Social and Affective Neuroscience (CSAN), Linköping University

# Background – Observational fear conditioning

The act of acquiring fear through vicarious stimuli

- Follows the same principles as regular conditioning (CS, US, UR, CR) but the conditioning is indirect.
- Many factors can influence the conditioning (level of familiarity, vocalizations, empathy...).
- Fear is quantified through behavioural reaction.
  - Freezing behaviour



# Background – Operant fear conditioning

#### Acquisition of a fear stimulus through repeated operant training

- Operant training: conditioned behaviour performed by the subject to gain a reward.
- In fear conditioning, aversive stimulus (shock) is presented to the animal as a counterbalance for the positive reinforcement (reward).
- Fear is measured through change in the operant performance.
  - Suppression ratio: SR = LP(Tone) LP(Baseline)

LP(Tone) + LP(Baseline)



# Background – Research Aim

Characterising observational fear in rats, to have a tool for molecular analysis

- Two ways: increase in freezing behaviour (observational) and decrease in suppression ratio (operant).
- Analyse freezing behaviour in relationship to the conditioned stimulus (during and after).
- Testing the possibility to include additional behaviours for fear measure.
- Look into the physiological reaction by measuring corticosterone (blood samples).
- Use of diazepam (anxiolytic) in the operant model for validation.



# Methods – Observational fear experiment

- 56 male wistar rats (live animals) employed for the experiment
- 2 groups: demonstrators and observers
- Phase 1: tone habituation with 5 acoustic tones and a floor over half the grid
- Phase 2: priming of the observers (exposure to 6 shocks) in a different context
- Phase 3: fear acquisition (24h after phase 2).
   Demonstrator receives the shock, observer observe. 6 tones of two minutes are played, with a 2 second foot shock following
- Phase 4: fear testing (1 month after phase 3). Rats are exposed individually to the acoustic tone without the shock (2 tones of 30 seconds)







## Methods – Corticosterone immunoassay analysis

- Blood samples collected at 4 timepoints:
  - T0 Baseline (before any manipulation), T1 After fear acquisition, T2 24h after fear acquisition, T3 After fear testing
- Corticosterone is isolated and extracted with a speed vacuum
- Samples containing corticosterone are subsequently analysed with the Enzyme immunoassay kit
- Concentrations (pg/ml) are estimated using Softmax pro software with a plate reader

						Plate	1						Lm1
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	# Mor
୍ଷ	0.005	-0.000	0.863	0.996	0.272	0.269	0.876	0.390	0.279	0.246	0.339	0.370	Shake
1	0.994	1.110	1.033	1.019	0.341	0.457	0.308	0.296	0.307	0.332	0.486	0.569	Carrie
1	0.129	0.119	1.050	0.804	0.027	-0.003	0.288	0.326	0.191	0.588	0.219	0.228	Colur
1	0.207	0.198	0.455	0.559	0.853	0,453	0.403	0.380	0.456	0.485	0.313	0.291	
	0.320	0.315	0.293	0.264	0.716	0.188	0.175	0.145	0.156	0.144	0.402	0.410	
[	0.460	0.464	0.404	0.431	0.363	0.351	0.516	0.483	0.413	0.357	0.300	0.363	
6	0.563	0.633	0.310	0.304	0.305	0.306	0.330	0.208	0.178	0.180	0.275	0.296	
6	0.771	0.749	0.343	0.328	0.287	0.347	0.382	0.386	0.449	0.460	0.002	0.002	Read In Versal
1													ROM
	Reduct	ion Setti	ngs										Man
	Optical	Density											mean

rmation

ttiere

Jun 19 2006 13:21 2023-11-26

erature : 20,5 \*C

# Methods: Behavioural scoring

- Additional 50 wistar rats used (video animals), 5 groups
- Recordings from acquisition and testing sessions
- In acquisition, 1<sup>st</sup> tone not scored
- Freezing behaviour scored during the acoustic tones and 1 minute after

#### • Ethograms scored for:

- Baseline (2 minutes before the tones)
- During the tones
- $\circ$  After the 1<sup>st</sup> tone (only testing)
- List of behaviours:
  - Grooming
  - Sniffing
  - Rearing
  - Free-air whisking
  - Head-scanning
  - Jumping (only acquisition)
  - Social interaction (only acquisition)
- Ethograms for video animals only



#### Program used: Ethovision XT



a Tanani Ing Tangka 1 Mana	marke 1	Constant Public	And and a state of the local division of the		C. Brenn William							-
later if the later	de Canal											
Int other days		-	-	-			-	and the second s		-		
		The second se	the second se									
	Teaching	- Including Bardine - The	same. Taxable burns	Anness transition from		. Passes listenite house		I Description of Longitudies of Longitudies		Taxable Description in which		- Transfer
					-							
		1011101	4 1.000		and the second			1.4	100 M		100.010	
N 8 8 10 1001		1010343	a second		10000	1.4.		1.14	1000-000		1000-010	
		144			transfer and the				0.00.00	-		
+ + + 3 1897	1.1	104	1.000		10000	1.1	1000		1004-000			
		100	4		And a real of the		-	1.1	-		distant d	1.1
w 0 0 0 0000		Contraction of the	100 mil		101.710		-		and the second		1000-000	
<ul> <li>1 Y D BHD</li> </ul>		144	1 1,000		101,010		1000		100000		246-34	
	C 1	-1.000	1 1.80		10000		-	1.1.8	100000	-		
4 5 8 18 18 17		101024	1.000		Service and	1.1	-	1.1	in the second second		and a second	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1004	4		444.244				12/10/000		100,000	
5 S. S. M. MILL			4		10000		-		100801111		and a	
1 1 1 1 100		100	0		0.000		1000		4.7.000			
N N 1882		1002-1002			100.00				407.04		044364	
	1 m	- Marcine Happing	4		1000-100-test				Same in		-crimini-	
A 40 A 11 AM		1007-001	1 010-073		1000-000			1.1	10010-000		100.000	
8.8.5.087	E	1000	1 1.000		COMPANY AND A		1000		10.000		(Second of the second s	
					10000				101100-0001		100100	
		1000-CM	1 0.04		4477.0884		107.001	1.0	1007.071		24644	
	1	1000	1.000		41-1,040		and the second s		100000000			
	E 18		0.000		and the second sec		1000		10000	-	0.000	
3 8 8 800		10-10-0-1	2. 1.000		and the second second		1000		March 1994		216.00	
0.11.10.100		and of the local division of the local divis			4471.040		- 100		201.10		1000	
			1	1.46	and the second second			1.16	continuities.		manufact.	
		1000	<ul> <li></li></ul>		4411 744		-		400471		28.0.00	
		All the second s			1000 000			1.1	0001000		10000	
	£7				Annual and the				100001-008		100.00	
1 T. 4 4 28-1	A	1.66	4		And a local division of the local division o		-		and the second second	- A.	and a second sec	
	6 C - 16	T-B-DE	1 1004100		40102-7087	1.1	1000	1.1	4070.000		40.00	
		and the second s	0.000		1000-000			- 4				
- H. H. H. H. HER		1100	1		120-700		10000-000		100000		717 b-460	
	0.00	1100	1 1.000		the Colorest		1000		1000021000		1014 mail	
A	61 B	100	0 0.00		THE OWNER WATER OF THE OWNER OF T		10000	1.10	and the second			
E R 1 1814		MARCH.	1.000		and the second	1.1			10000-000		100.00	
	1	100	1		second bags		40.000		COLUMN TWO IS NOT		Transie	1.1
		-1-1-1-1-00	6 5486		000.0101		'animat'		10001-000		1000	
2 1 1 1.887		1446	1		000.00		1000	1.10	0.0041			
		and and	4-1 4.84		10110-0010				100.411		Thisse	
	C7. 4		1		And including				COLUMN AND A		-	

## Methods – Operant fear experiment

- 40 males and 51 female wistar rats employed for the experiment
- Phase 1: operant training on fixed ratio 1, then fixed ratio 2 (0.2% saccharine reward)
- Phase 2: Injection and tone habituation. Rats are injected with saline solution and habituated to the acoustic tones (2 of 30 seconds)
- Phase 3: fear acquisition. 2 groups (0.4 and 0.8 mA), 3 tones of 30 seconds with shock of 2 seconds
- Phase 4: diazepam injections and fear testing. 3 subgroups (control, 0.3 and 1 mg/kg), 2 tones of 2 minutes each



### Results – Corticosterone

Two-way RM ANOVA:

Time x group: F(3, 162) = 4.921, p = 0.0027Time: F(2.090, 112.8) = 42.44, p < 0.0001

Concentration of corticosterone was higher on t1-t3 compared to the concentration at t0



### Results – Freezing scores (Live animals)

Unpaired *t*-test:

DEM vs OBS: t(54) = 5.20, p < 0.001OBS clusters: *t*(26) = 11.5, *p* < 0.001

Observer rats acquired fear with individual variance (high fear and low fear)



28-day fear expression (observers)

### Results – Freezing scores (Video animals)

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Acquisition: *KW* statistic = 36.74 p < 0.05Testing: *KW* statistic = 47.66, p < 0.001

Observers freeze during the tones, with a degree of variance (high fear and low fear observers)

#### Freezing during Tones (acquisition)



#### Control Demonstrators

- Demonstrators
- Control Observers
- Low Fear Observers
- High Fear Observers

### Freezing during tones (testing)



### Results – Freezing scores (Video animals)

Kruskal-Wallis test:

Acquisition: *KW* statistic = 35.42, p < 0.001

Testing: *KW* statistic = 31.35, p < 0,001Latency: *KW* statistic = 4.181, p < 0,001

Rats prolonged the freezing behaviour one minute after the tone.

Latency for freezing behaviour extend up to almost three minutes in freezing test sessions



## Results – Ethograms



### Results – Ethograms

Rats exhibit exploratory behaviours majorly

During the tones, increase in vigilant behaviour and freezing

Freezing becomes predominant after the acoustic tones, with similar percentages between high fear observers and demonstrators



### Results – Operant performance

Operant males: drop in operant behaviour during the acoustic tone in testing session affected by the shock intensity group

Operant females: more pronounced drop during the acoustic tone in testing session



### **Results – Suppression Ratio**

#### Males

Two-way ANOVA:

- Shock groups: *F*(1) = 18.625, *p* < 0.001</p>
- Shock groups x dosage groups: F(2) = 3.768, p = 0.033

#### Females

Two-way ANOVA:

- Shock groups: F(1) = 3.418, p = 0.071
- Shock groups x dosage groups: F(2) = 0.704, p = 0.5

Suppression ratio was affected by the injection of different concentrations of diazepam, for both males and female. Only for males, there was significant difference.



### Discussion – Corticosterone

 Corticosterone measurements indicates a growing stress in the animal for each timepoints, with peaks at fear acquisition (t1) and fear teasting (t3) and a drop 24 hours after acquisition (t2). Unfortunately, physiological stress response does not predict fearful behavioural response.



### Discussion – Behaviours

- Rats exhibited freezing after one month from fear acquisition, in comparison to one week.
  - Observers showed different freezing scores at individual level
- Freezing behaviour increased in the minute after the acoustic tone, showing anticipatory fear for the shock
  - In fear testing, latency could go up to the full inter-tone time (3 minutes)
- Ethograms confirmed the increase of freezing during and after the tone, contextualising it with increases of vigilant behaviours and decrease of exploratory behaviours
  - Since vigilant behaviours anticipates freezing, they could be included as fear measurments

# Discussion – Operant

- Implement of saccharine as a reward was successful, replacing the alcohol administration
  - Rats developed operant behaviour within the same time frame
- Injections of diazepam showed reduction in suppression ratio, depending on the different shock intensity recieved, showing an effect of all factors involved

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

### For future studies

- Current work on development on AI software for facial recognition in rats (made with DeepLabCut), to fasten behavioural scoring.
- The behavioural scores conducted here will be used for the machine learning of said AI.
- The work sets the grounds for molecular and neurological work on observational fear.

# Thank you for listening!

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)